Sec. 37. The General Assembly shall pass no Law providing for payment by this State for Slaves emancipated from servitude in this State; but they shall adopt such measures as they may deem expedient to obtain from the United States compensation for such Slaves, and to receive and distribute the same equitably to the persons entitled.

The word "slaves" as used in this section cannot be applied to a free negro apprenticed. Brown v. State, 23 Md. 507.

See notes to sec. 32.

Sec. 38. No person shall be imprisoned for debt.

Fact that the act of 1912, ch. 133—see art. 56, sec. 151, et seq., of the An. Code—penalizes the operation of motor vehicles without a license by imprisonment in jail upon default in payment of fine imposed, does not violate this section. Ruggles v. State, 120 Md. 564.

The term "debt" as used in this section means an obligation arising otherwise than from a sentence of a court for a breach of the peace or crime. The Constitution is to be construed according to the acceptation of those who adopted it. State v. Mace, 5 Md. 350; Ruggles v. State, 120 Md. 564. And see The Ann, 8 Fed. 925.

The act of 1872, ch. 329, providing that a defaulting tax collector shall be imprisoned in the penitentiary, etc., "unless the amount for which he defaults be sooner paid," held not to violate this section. There is a broad distinction between imprisonment for debt and for a breach of duty on the part of a public officer, although such breach may be the neglect to pay over money received for the use of the state. State v. Nicholson, 67 Md. 3; Ruggles v. State, 120 Md. 564.

This section referred to in upholding the right of a court of equity to enjoin a suit in another state instituted for the purpose of evading this section. Miller v. Gittings,

The insolvent laws held not to have been abrogated by this section; an arrest for debt was not necessary at the adoption of the Constitution of 1851, to entitle a person to be discharged under the insolvent laws. Trail v. Snouffer, 6 Md. 316.

Cited but not construed in Rice v. Hoffman, 35 Md. 351; Buchanan v. Turner, 26

Md. 6.

Party brought into court for contempt to compel performance of money decree—not

for alimony—may not be imprisoned. Dickey v. Dickey, 154 Md. 681.

Alimony does not constitute debt within meaning of that term as used in this section, but provision for support of children does. Decree to pay specified sum in installments in full satisfaction of all claims by wife in accordance with agreement of parties was not decree for alimony. Bushman v. Bushman, 157 Md. 170. See notes to sec. 32.

Sec. 39. The books, papers and accounts of all Banks shall be open to inspection under such regulations as may be prescribed by Law.<sup>1</sup>

This section referred to in deciding that under art. 23, sec. 146, of the An. Code, each stockholder was liable for double the amount of his stock. Murphy v. Wheatley, 102

This section referred to in deciding that a corporation may not buy its own shares

of stock. Md. Trust Co. v. Mechanics' Bank, 102 Md. 619.

This section cited to show that creditors of bank in receivership need not wait to enforce liability of stockholders for agreement to defer claims until 1938 does not mean that claims cannot be paid before that time. Robinson v. Hospelhorn, 169 Md. 137.

Cited in Ghingher v. Bachtell, 169 Md. 687. Cited in construing art. 11. sec. 97. Stockholders v. Sterling, 300 U. S. 175.

Cited but not construed in Clark Co. v. Colton, 91 Md. 231 (dissenting opinion).

See notes to sec. 32

This section referred to in construing art. 11, sec. 97. (Cause of action arose before this section was amended.) Hospelhorn v. Emerson, 175 Md. 215.

Sec. 40. The General Assembly shall enact no Law authorizing private property to be taken for public use, without just compensation as agreed upon between the parties, or awarded by a jury, being first paid or tendered to the party entitled to such compensation.

## What is a public use?

The supplying of electric power to the public generally on equal terms is a public use, and hence a corporation so doing has the right of condemnation. The fact that

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Thus Amended by Act of 1936 (Special Session), ch. 151, and ratified November, 1936,